Attorney Docket No.: MEDZ 2 01118

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER

AU6 1 2 2003

RADEMAR

AUG 1 2 2003

BADE .. O

Serial No.: 09/804,287 Filing Date: March 12, 2001 Examiner: Thomas Y. Ho

Group Art Unit: 3677 Invention: RADIOLUCENT SURGICAL TABLE WITH LOW

SHADOW ACCESSORY INTERFACE PROFILE

To the Commissioner for Patents:

Transmitted herewith is a Statement Of Substance Of Interview in the aboveidentified application. The fees have been calculated as shown below.

CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	Claims remaining after amendment		Highest Number Previously Paid For	No. of Extra Claims Present	Rate	Additional Rate
Total Claims	29	Minus	29	-0- x	[18] [9]	\$0.00
Indep. Claims	4	Minus	4 ,	-0- x	[84] [42]	\$0.00

xx No additional fees are believed to be due.

xx A Statement Of Substance Of Interview is enclosed.

Applicants hereby petition the Commissioner under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) and request any additional extensions of time that may be necessary and authorize the extension of time fees to be charged to Deposit Account No. 06-0308.

__xx_ Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-0308. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

RECEIVED

AUG 1 4 2003

Date: August 8, 2003

Michael E Hudzinski

Reg. No. 34,185

1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2518


(216) 861-5582

N:\MEDZ\201118\KAN0234A.wpd

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this Statement Of Substance Of Interview and Transmittal in connection with U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/804,287 is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on August 8, 2003.

Kathleen A. Nimrichter



STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

August 8, 2003

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

Attorney Docket No.:

MEDZ 2 01118

RECEIVED
AUG 1 4 2003
GROUP 3600

The following is applicants' statement of the substance of the telephonic interview conducted on July 16, 2003 between applicants' representative and Examiner T. Ho.

- Description Of The Nature Of Any Exhibit Shown Or Any Demonstration Conducted
 None.
- 2. Identification Of Claims Discussed
 Claims 1, 6, 17 and 21 were discussed.

3. Identification Of The Specific Prior Art Discussed

Prior art Patent Nos. 4,145,612 to Cooper and 4,484,571 to Velazquez were discussed.

4. Identification Of The Principal Proposed Amendments Of A Substantive Nature

The principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature were proposed in an Amendment B filed by applicants before the interview. The Examiner and applicants' representative considered the changes made to the claims in the Amendment B to read over the prior art rejection of Cooper in view of Velazquez.

5. Brief Identification Of The General Thrust Of The Principal Arguments Presented To Examiner

The general thrust of the principal arguments presented by the Examiner is as stated by the Examiner in the continuation sheet (PTO-413) accompanying the Interview Summary.

6. General Indication Of Any Other Pertinent Matters Discussed

The Examiner agreed to contact applicants' representative by phone if, after further search and consideration, additional amendments are needed in order to put the case in condition for allowance.

7. General Results Or Outcome Of The Interview

The general results or outcome of the interview is as described in the Interview Summary form completed by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP

Michael E. Hudzinsk

Reg. No. 34,185

1100 Superior Avenue, Seventh Floor Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2518

216/861-558

N:\MEDZ\201118\KAN0233A.wpd